Federal Acquisition Regulation

- (2) Describe the nature of the agency official's participation in the procurement and specify the approximate dates or time period of participation; and
- (3) Identify the offeror and describe its interest in the procurement.
- (c) Resumption of participation in a procurement. (1) The official must remain disqualified until such time as the agency, at its sole and exclusive discretion, authorizes the official to resume participation in the procurement in accordance with 3.104–3(c)(1)(ii).
- (2) After the conditions of 3.104-3(c)(1)(ii)(A) or (B) have been met, the head of the contracting activity (HCA), after consultation with the agency ethics official, may authorize the disqualified official to resume participation in the procurement, or may determine that an additional disqualification period is necessary to protect the integrity of the procurement process. In determining the disqualification period, the HCA must consider any factors that create an appearance that the disqualified official acted without complete impartiality in the procurement. The HCA's reinstatement decision should be in writing.
- (3) Government officer or employee must also comply with the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 208 and 5 CFR part 2635 regarding any resumed participation in a procurement matter. Government officer or employee may not be reinstated to participate in a procurement matter affecting the financial interest of someone with whom the individual is seeking employment, unless the individual receives—
- (i) A waiver pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 208(b)(1) or (b)(3); or
- (ii) An authorization in accordance with the requirements of subpart F of 5 CFR part 2635.

[67 FR 13059, Mar. 20, 2002]

3.104-6 Ethics advisory opinions regarding prohibitions on a former official's acceptance of compensation from a contractor.

(a) An official or former official of a Federal agency who does not know whether he or she is or would be precluded by subsection 27(d) of the Act (see 3.104-3(d)) from accepting compensation from a particular contractor

may request advice from the appropriate agency ethics official before accepting such compensation.

- (b) The request for an advisory opinion must be in writing, include all relevant information reasonably available to the official or former official, and be dated and signed. The request must include information about the—
- (1) Procurement(s), or decision(s) on matters under 3.104–3(d)(1)(iii), involving the particular contractor, in which the individual was or is involved, including contract or solicitation numbers, dates of solicitation or award, a description of the supplies or services procured or to be procured, and contract amount;
- (2) Individual's participation in the procurement or decision, including the dates or time periods of that participation, and the nature of the individual's duties, responsibilities, or actions; and
- (3) Contractor, including a description of the products or services produced by the division or affiliate of the contractor from whom the individual proposes to accept compensation.
- (c) Within 30 days after receipt of a request containing complete information, or as soon thereafter as practicable, the agency ethics official should issue an opinion on whether the proposed conduct would violate subsection 27(d) of the Act.
- (d)(1) If complete information is not included in the request, the agency ethics official may ask the requester to provide more information or request information from other persons, including the source selection authority, the contracting officer, or the requester's immediate supervisor.
- (2) In issuing an opinion, the agency ethics official may rely upon the accuracy of information furnished by the requester or other agency sources, unless he or she has reason to believe that the information is fraudulent, misleading, or otherwise incorrect.
- (3) If the requester is advised in a written opinion by the agency ethics official that the requester may accept compensation from a particular contractor, and accepts such compensation in good faith reliance on that advisory opinion, then neither the requester nor the contractor will be found to have knowingly violated subsection 27(d) of

3.104 - 7

the Act. If the requester or the contractor has actual knowledge or reason to believe that the opinion is based upon fraudulent, misleading, or otherwise incorrect information, their reliance upon the opinion will not be deemed to be in good faith.

[67 FR 13059, Mar. 20, 2002]

3.104-7 Violations or possible violations.

- (a) A contracting officer who receives or obtains information of a violation or possible violation of subsection 27(a), (b), (c), or (d) of the Act (see 3.104-3) must determine if the reported violation or possible violation has any impact on the pending award or selection of the contractor.
- (1) If the contracting officer concludes that there is no impact on the procurement, the contracting officer must forward the information concerning the violation or possible violation and documentation supporting a determination that there is no impact on the procurement to an individual designated in accordance with agency procedures.
- (i) If that individual concurs, the contracting officer may proceed with the procurement.
- (ii) If that individual does not concur, the individual must promptly forward the information and documentation to the HCA and advise the contracting officer to withhold award.
- (2) If the contracting officer concludes that the violation or possible violation impacts the procurement, the contracting officer must promptly forward the information to the HCA.
- (b) The HCA must review all information available and, in accordance with agency procedures, take appropriate action, such as—
- (1) Advise the contracting officer to continue with the procurement;
 - (2) Begin an investigation;
- (3) Refer the information disclosed to appropriate criminal investigative agencies;
- (4) Conclude that a violation occurred: or
- (5) Recommend that the agency head determine that the contractor, or someone acting for the contractor, has engaged in conduct constituting an offense punishable under subsection 27(e)

of the Act, for the purpose of voiding or rescinding the contract.

- (c) Before concluding that an offeror, contractor, or person has violated the Act, the HCA may consider that the interests of the Government are best served by requesting information from appropriate parties regarding the violation or possible violation.
- (d) If the HCA concludes that section 27 of the Act has been violated, the HCA may direct the contracting officer to—
- (1) If a contract has not been awarded—
- (i) Cancel the procurement;
- (ii) Disqualify an offeror; or
- (iii) Take any other appropriate actions in the interests of the Government
 - (2) If a contract has been awarded—
- (i) Effect appropriate contractual remedies, including profit recapture under the clause at 52.203–10, Price or Fee Adjustment for Illegal or Improper Activity, or, if the contract has been rescinded under paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this subsection, recovery of the amount expended under the contract;
- (ii) Void or rescind the contract with respect to which—
- (A) The contractor or someone acting for the contractor has been convicted for an offense where the conduct constitutes a violation of subsection 27(a) or (b) of the Act for the purpose of either—
- (1) Exchanging the information covered by the subsections for anything of value; or
- (2) Obtaining or giving anyone a competitive advantage in the award of a Federal agency procurement contract; or
- (B) The agency head has determined, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, that the contractor or someone acting for the contractor has engaged in conduct constituting an offense punishable under subsection 27(e)(1) of the Act; or
- (iii) Take any other appropriate actions in the best interests of the Government.
- (3) Refer the matter to the agency suspending or debarring official.
- (e) The HCA should recommend or direct an administrative or contractual